A Comparative Study of College Entrance Examination between China and Japan

-With Special Reference to English Listening Comprehension Test-

指導 森住 衛 教授

国際学研究科

言語教育専攻

208 J4008

孫 開来

CONTENT

INTRODUCTION
1. Background of the research1
2. Reasons for choosing the theme2
3. Purposes of this research3
4. Organization of this research3
CHAPTER 1 An Overview of CEEBA and NCT
1. General information4
2. Formation4
3. Others6
4. Summary7
CHAPTER 2 A Comparison between CEEBA and NCT in Terms of Difficulty
1. Linguistic materials8
1.1 grammars8
1.2. Vocabulary10
2. Length of the test
2.1 Time required
2.2 Length of the text15
2.3 Length of each sentence16
3. Topics or subjects matters18
4. Others21
5. Summary24
CHAPTER 3 A Comparison between CEEBA and NCT in Terms of Validity
1. Definition of validity26
2. Validity of CEEBA and NCT27
2.1 The items an overall listening test is intended to be measured28
2.2 The items to be measured29
2.3 Whether CEEBA and NCT are measuring what are intended to29
3. Some other factors influencing the validity of CEEBA and NCT
4. Summary37
CONCLUSION
1. Summary of each chapter38
2. Suggestions 40
3. Future assignments41
NOTES42
$\textbf{REFERENCES} \\ \hline \\ 43$
${\bf ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS} \\$
SUPPLEMENTSi-ix

Abstract

This research is a comparative study of college entrance examination for English listening test in China and Japan. It consists of 3 parts: the introduction, the main discussions and the conclusion.

In this research, the comparison will be conducted between China's CEEBA and Japan's NCT. CEEBA refers to College Entrance Examination in Beijing Area, while NCT stands for National Center Test of Japan. Unlike Japan in which the whole country uses the same test papers for NCT, in China different areas use different test papers because China is too big to have one single test in the whole country. For this reason, the test paper of Beijing Area which is a representative one is chosen to be compared with Japan's NCT.

I choose this theme of listening comprehension test of college entrance examination by the reason of the following three aspects. Firstly, testing is indispensable in any kind of education. Secondly, college entrance examination is of great importance for the test-takers of both China and Japan. Finally, the listening ability of the students from China and Japan is rather low.

The main discussions are divided into three: an overview of CEEBA and NCT, analysis of both tests in terms of difficulty, and analysis of the tests in terms of validity, each of which is explained or discussed in Chapters 1,2 and 3 respectively.

In chapter 1, I overviewed what CEEBA and NCT look like in terms of general information, formation and some other important points such as answering choices, listening materials, directions and so on.

In chapter 2, I analyzed CEEBA and NCT in terms of difficulty of the tests. And some items such as linguistic materials, length of the test and subjects matters was focused on.

In chapter 3, I compared CEEBA with NCT in terms validity by checking whether or not they are measuring the items that are intended to measure.

It is concluded that based on the analysis in the previous parts I found that NCT is much more difficult than CEEBA is for the test-takers because there are more difficult words and phrases in NCT and the listening materials are spoken faster than those of CEEBA. And I also found that both CEEBA and NCT are measuring what are intended to measure. That is to say, the two tests can be said to be valid. However, there is still room for improvement. For example, we can make the specifications of both CEEBA and NCT more detailed , and decrease the degree in difficulty of NCT to make the tests more valid.

In the future study, I would like to take up reliability in this research. And if I have a chance I would also like to expand the researching range to the reading test and the college entrance examination of Korea.

References

- Alderson, J.C. (1992) Evaluating Second Language Education. Cambridge University

 Press
- Bachman, F. L. (1990) Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing. Oxford University

 Press
- Brown, D. J. (2005) Testing in Language Programs. The McGraw-Hill Companies
- Burns, A. (1999) Collaborative Action Research for English Language Teachers. Cambridge University press
- Davison (2010) 'Current Issues in English Language Teacher-based Assessment.' *TESOL Quarterly, 43,* 393-415
- Dudley-Evans, T. (1998) *Developments in English for Specific Purposes*. Cambridge University Press
- Smith, L.E. (2007) Cultures, Contexts, and World Englishes. Routledge
- Toomey (2004) Understanding Intercultural Communication. Oxford University Press
- Wah Kam, H. (2003) English Language Teaching in East Asia today. Eastern Universities

 Press

相澤一美 他(編)(2005) 『JACET 8000 英単語』桐原書店

朝尾幸次郎(2006) 「リスニングテストの作り方」『英語教育』2006年 10 月号 No.8 大修館

隈部直光教授古稀記念論集編集委員会(2002)『21世紀の英語教育への提言と指針』開拓社

黒木哲徳(1991) 「中国における大学入試試験から見えてきたこと」『数学セミナー』1991 年 30-5 日本評論社

小池生夫(1993) 『英語のヒアリングとその指導』大修館

小池生夫(編)(2004)『第二言語習得研究の現在』大修館

国立国語研究所(編)(2006)『世界の言語テスト』 くろしお出版

小寺茂明・吉田晴世(2009) 『スペシャリストによる英語教育の理論と応用』松柏社

佐藤久美子(2000)「教師のためのリスニング力アップ法」『英語教育』2000 年 10 月号 No.7 大修館 塩澤利雄·伊部哲·園城寺信一·小泉仁(2004)『新英語科教育の展開』英潮社